

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/604,763		KATAYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lauren Q Wells		1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Minna Moezie. (3) Keisha Reynolds.  
 (2) Lauren Wells. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2002.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed: ① power of attorney. Attorney brought "Associate Power of Attorney" w/ her. Paper will be entered; ② distinguishing instant claims from art of record by specifying cosmetically effect amounts, specifying specific acid esters, or direct claims toward a cosmetic method; ③ combination of references. Attorney discussed hindsight reconstruction; ④ a possible showing of unexpected results; ⑤ the preamble. Although the preamble recites a cosmetic, it is not given patentable weight.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required